Section 8, 10/21/19

Theorem A (page 275):

Under appropriate smoothness conditions on the density f, the mle from an i.i.d. sample is consistent.

Proof

Recall that for an i.i.d. sample, the log likelihood of n observations is

$$l(heta) = \sum_{i=1}^n logf(X_i| heta)$$

Given a set of data, we wish to find the parameter set θ that maximizes our average likelihood

$$rac{1}{n}l(heta) = rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n logf(X_i| heta)$$

As n goes to infinity, by the law of large numbers

$$egin{aligned} &rac{1}{n}l(heta) o Eig[log f(X| heta)ig] \ &= \int log f(x| heta)\,f(x| heta_0)\,dx \end{aligned}$$

since the distribution of observations approaches the true distribution. In order to maximize this asymptotic distribution, we take the derivative and set it to zero.

$$rac{\partial}{\partial heta}\int log f(x| heta)\,f(x| heta_0)\,dx = \int rac{rac{\partial}{\partial heta}f(x| heta)}{f(x| heta)}\,f(x| heta_0)\,dx = 0$$

If we set $heta= heta_0$, then

$$\int \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x|\theta)}{f(x|\theta)} f(x|\theta_0) \, dx \Big|_{\theta = \theta_0} = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x|\theta) \, dx \Big|_{\theta = \theta_0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int f(x|\theta) \, dx \Big|_{\theta = \theta_0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (1) = 0$$

on the basis of appropriate smoothness. So θ_0 is a stationary point, hopefully a maximum. A more involved argument rigorously shows that for such large n, the θ that maximizes the log likelihood also maximizes the expected log likelihood.

Theorem B

Under smoothness conditions on f, the probability distribution of $\sqrt{nI(\theta_0)}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)$ approaches a standard normal distribution.

Proof (sketch)

We begin by constructing a first order Taylor expansion approximation of the log likelihood derivative at 0 (maximized).

$$0=l'(\hat{ heta})pprox l'(heta_0)+(\hat{ heta}- heta_0)l''(heta_0)$$

As n goes to infinity, by the law of large numbers

$$egin{aligned} &rac{1}{n}l''(heta_0) = rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n rac{\partial^2}{\partial heta^2}\log f(x_i| heta_0)\ & o Eiggl[rac{\partial^2}{\partial heta^2}\log f(X| heta_0)iggr] = -I(heta_0) \end{aligned}$$

This latter equivalence following from Lemma A, from last week. Thus by rearranging terms to the other side we have

$$egin{aligned} 0 &pprox l'(heta_0) + (\hat{ heta} - heta_0) I(heta_0) \ & (\hat{ heta} - heta_0) &pprox rac{l'(heta_0)}{n I(heta_0)} \end{aligned}$$

We wish to examine the mean and variance of the distribution of our centered estimate $(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)$. Note that the denominator is simple a constant. Thus

$$Eig[(\hat{ heta}- heta_0)ig]=rac{1}{nI(heta_0)}Eig[l'(heta_0)ig]=rac{1}{nI(heta_0)}\sum_{i=1}^nEigg[rac{\partial}{\partial heta}logf(X_i| heta_0)igg]=0$$

By last week's results. Taking variance,

$$Varig[(\hat{ heta} - heta_0)ig] = rac{1}{n^2 I^2(heta_0)} Varig[l''(heta_0)ig] = rac{1}{n^2 I^2(heta_0)} \sum_{i=1}^n Eigg[rac{\partial}{\partial heta} log f(X_i| heta_0)igg]^2 = rac{1}{n^2 I^2(heta_0)} nI(heta_0) = rac{1}{nI(heta_0)}$$

Since $l'(\theta)$ is the sum of i.i.d. random variables, we central limit theorem may be applied. Thus $\sqrt{nI(\theta_0)}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \sim N(0, 1)$ and for large data, we know the estimate of θ approaches the truth and we know our confidence in its estimate.